

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,371	09/25/2003	Pingfan Wu	128435-1	2370	
41838	7590 06/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GENERAL	LELECTRIC COMPAN	EVANS, FANNIE L			
C/O FLETCHER YODER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P. O. BOX 692289 HOUSTON, TX 77269-2289			2877		
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				H'H
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
Office Action Summary		10/605,371	WU ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		F. L. Evans	2877	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	on appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address	SS
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a son. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this commuNABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un] This action is non-final. llowance except for formal ma	• •	∍rits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) 12-24 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,6-8,25,26 and 29-31 is/are in Claim(s) 4, 5, 9-11, 27, 28, and 32-42 is/Claim(s) are subject to restriction the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to by the Execution of the specification is objected to be specification of the spe	thdrawn from consideration. ejected. are objected to. and/or election requirement.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the other oath or declaration is objected to by the last object of the last object object object of the last object	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	aments have been received. Iments have been received in the priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge
Attachmei	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ er No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/605,371

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 8 and 31 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference to Benicewicz et al. (US 6,762,836 B2). Rejections based on the newly cited reference follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 25, 26, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Zhang et al (US 6,762,835 B2), of record.

Zhang et al disclose an in-situ laser plasma spectroscopy apparatus, comprising: an enclosure (dotted lines in Fig. 1) for housing a laser energy source (1); a main fiber (4) connected to said enclosure at a first end of said main fiber (4), and connected to a probe (13) at a second end of said main fiber (4), said main fiber (4) configured for transmitting input laser energy from said laser energy source (1) to a target (30) and for transmitting laser induced plasma emission signals (column 5, lines 21-24) back from said target (30), and said probe having a single focal lens (10) for directing said input laser energy from said main fiber (4) to said target (30), and for directing said laser induced plasma emission signals (column 5, lines 21-24) from said target (30) to said main fiber (4). The enclosure further includes a beam splitter (3) for directing the input laser energy from said laser energy source (1) into the main fiber (4), the beam splitter (3) is further configured for directing said laser induced plasma emission signals from the main fiber (4) to a second fiber (5) in communication with the enclosure, wherein said second

Application/Control Number: 10/605,371

Art Unit: 2877

fiber (5) is configured to transmit said laser induced plasma emission signals to a spectrometer device (12) for analysis of the laser induced plasma emission signals. The enclosure also includes a first lens (7) for focusing the input laser energy reflected from the beam splitter (3) into the main fiber (4) and a second lens (11) for focusing the laser induced plasma emission signals directed from the beam splitter (3) into the second fiber (5). An intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD) camera (16) is attached to the spectrometer device (12) and a computer (19) is in communication with the ICCD camera (16). In an embodiment of the invention of Zhang et al, the probe is movable with respect to the target (the target being different depths inside of molten Al alloy - lines 42-55 of column 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 6-8, 25, 26 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Benicewicz et al. (US 6,762,836 B2), newly cited, in view of Zhang et al. (US 6,762,835 B2), of record The applied reference, Benicewicz et al, has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR § 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR § 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR § 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. § 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Benicewicz et al disclose an in-situ laser plasma spectroscopy apparatus, comprising: a laser energy source (110); a main fiber (121) connected to an enclosure (120) at a first end of said main fiber (121), and connected to a probe (housing containing lens 125) at a second end of said main fiber (121), said main fiber (121) configured for transmitting input laser energy from said laser energy source (110) to a target (126) and for transmitting laser induced plasma emission signals (column 4, lines 29-31 and 45-47) back from said target (126), and said probe having a single focal lens (125) for directing said input laser energy from said main fiber (121) to said target (126), and for directing said laser induced plasma emission signals (column 4, lines 29-31 and 45-47) from said target (126) to said main fiber (121). The enclosure further includes a beam splitter (124) for directing the input laser energy from said laser energy source (110) into the main fiber (121), the beam splitter (124) is further configured for directing said laser induced plasma emission signals from the main fiber (121) to a second fiber (128) in communication with the enclosure, wherein said second fiber (121) is configured to transmit said laser induced plasma emission signals to a spectrometer device (130) for analysis of the laser induced plasma emission signals.

The enclosure also includes a first lens (123) for focusing the input laser energy reflected from the beam splitter (124) into the main fiber (121) and a second lens (127) for focusing the laser induced plasma emission signals directed from the beam splitter (124) into the second fiber (128). An intensified charge-coupled device (ICCD) camera (150) is attached to the spectrometer device (130) and a computer (190) is in communication with the ICCD camera (150). The probe is movable with respect to the target (column 4, lines 33-39). The probe comprises a stop at the end thereof, configured such that an exit end of the probe corresponds to a focal point of the single lens (column 4, lines 33-39). Benicewicz et al do not specifically set forth an enclosure for housing the laser energy source.

Zhang et al disclose an in-situ laser plasma spectroscopy apparatus, comprising an enclosure (dotted lines in Fig. 1) for housing a laser energy source (1).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to provide an enclosure for housing the laser energy source (1) of Benicewicz et al, shown to be conventional by Zhang et al, to preclude any inadvertent exposure of a user to Class IV laser light (column 4, lines 11-15 of Benicewicz et al).

Additional Prior Art

Rai et al (Spectrochimica Acta) disclose an in-situ laser plasma spectroscopy apparatus with a stop at the end of the probe to maintain the same sample-to-lens distant for every sample. Applicant's attention is directed to lines 7-11 of the first full paragraph on page 2375 of Rai et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-24 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Claims 4, 5, 9-11, 27, 28 and 32-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2877

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to claims 1-3, 6, 7, 25, 26, 29 and 30. Zhang et al disclose that the probe is movable with respect to the target, the target being different depths inside of molten Al alloy. Applicant's attention is directed to lines 42-55 of column 8 of Zhang et al. Additionally, applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6-8, 25, 26 and 29-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Fax/Telephone Numbers

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The fax number for Technology Center 2800 is (703) 872-9306 for regular and After Final communications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner whose telephone number is (571) 272-2414. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr., can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext 77.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

F. L. EVANS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2877